

Bandwagon status for metabolomics in plant phenotyping?

\blacktriangleright Bandwagons in plant breeding

Other advances, such as metabolomics, never achieved bandwagon status in plant breeding. This was likely due to the high level of technical knowledge and skills, as well as equipment and infrastructure, needed for metabolomics investigations.

The purpose of non-targeted metabolomics

Quick and reliable information about metabolism

Metabolism provides **energy** and bricks for **biomass construction**, **defence**, **attraction**, **signalling**…

The metabolome contains information about the past (slow turnover metabolites), the present (high turnover metabolites) and the future (precursors of biomass components or volatiles)

Combining **robotics** and **high resolution mass spectrometry**

Equipment: 800 k€, 80-160k€/year Maintenance: 10 k€/year Running costs: 10 k€/year Human resource: 50k€/year

Figure 3. Current sample harvesting and LC-MS-based analysis capacities with indications additional developments are required to meet the needs for integration into an in vivo HTP (molecular) phenotyping facility. Abbreviations: HTP, high throughput; LC-MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry.

Hall et al. (2022)

20,000 samples per year Up to 20,000 m/z per sample **8-12€ per sample** (from sample to raw data) – instead of 50-500€

Up to thousands of samples

Data acquisition *Robotised extraction Automated injection (20 min/sample)*

Raw data (**#Gb/sample**) -> public data repository in open source version (MetaboLights)

Data preprocessing *Noise filtering, baseline correction, peak detection, alignment, missing values… Quality control (QC) sample or extract for interoperability Spectral annotation*

Pre-processed data: m/z and identified metabolites **(#Mb/sample**) -> public data repository

Diversity: genotypes, species, conditions…

Predictors: metabolic features

Traits to predict: yield, robustness…

Modelling: Trait = $C + a_1^*$ met₁ + a_2^* met₂ + a_3^* met₃ + + a_n^* met_n

Grafting success in grapevine

Elevation at which 24 species grow in the Atacama desert

INRAZ Modelling Metabolism p. 92 Yves Gibon / UMR1332 BFP

Was metabolism involved in maize selection?

60 maize hybrids released from 1950 to 2015

Authors concluded that a developmental trait (vertical distribution of leaf area) was the main indirect target of selection

To check the involvement of metabolism, leaf samples were taken from plants of this "genetic progress" panel grown under well watered (WW) or water deficit (WD) conditions at PhenoArch and the metabolome was analysed using a non-targeted approach (#3000 m/z)

Modelling the year of release

80% of the data to construct the models, 20% to test them (100X)

Models were successfully built for traits measured at PhenoArch

It also worked for the **year of release** of the hybrids

Validation: models were built with all years of release except one, which was used to test them

\blacktriangleright Modelling yield of the "genetic progress" panel

Distributions of R^2 obtained for yield measured in various field experiments

Metabolomics have progressed

- -Sampling remains a bottleneck
- -Interoperability can be a problem

Trade-off between prediction value and costs

- -Costs decrease when throughput increases (most of the costs are linked to the acquisition of equipment). Less than 5€ per sample possible
- -Metabolomics can provide good predictions from plants at early growth stages

When to use predictive metabolomics?

- -Niche species
- -Multi-species (agroecology)

